

<b>Advanced Intravenous Procedures &amp; Chelation Course Evaluation Results</b>						
<b>TAUGHT AT BINM APRIL 25&amp;26 2009</b>						
Designation: ND: 16 MD: RN: 1 ND student:						
	Excell-ent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor
1. The facility as a whole was	2	7	8			
2. The comfort level in the facility was	3	7	7			
3. The course as a whole was	2	7	7			1
4. The course content was	5	4	5	2		1
5. The instructor?s contribution was	6	4	6		1	
6. The effectiveness in teaching was	4	5	5	1	1	1
7. Course organization was	3	6	3	3	1	1
8. Clarity of instructor?s voice was	7	6	4			
9. Explanations were	4	7	3	2	1	
10. Use of examples and illustrations were	2	9	3	2	1	
11. Confidence in instructor?s knowledge was	8	6	3			
12. Qualities of problems raised were	2	6	2			
13. Instructor?s enthusiasm was	8	6	2	1		
14. Encouragement to express your thoughts was	9	4	2	2		
15. Answers to your questions were	7	3	5	2		
16. Availability of extra help when needed was	7	6	3		1	
17. Use of class time was	4	3	4	3	3	
18. Opportunity for practicing what was learned	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
19. Amount you learned in the course was	4	4	7	1	1	
20. Relevance and usefulness of course was	8	3	3	1	1	
21. Clarity of your responsibilities was	6	4	4	2	1	
22. Usefulness of Advanced IV & Chelation chart	10	4	2	1		
23. Explanation of the chart was	7	4	5		1	
<b>TOTALS</b>	<b>118</b>	<b>115</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>				
Did you feel confident using IV Therapies before the course?	12	5				
Do you feel more confident using IV Therapies after the course?	14	1				
Will you be using IV Therapies in the future?	17					
Would you recommend this course to other ND?s?	13	2				
Have you used IV therapies in your practice prior to coming here?	13	3				
	<b>0-2 years</b>	<b>2-5 years</b>	<b>5 + years</b>			
If yes how long have you been using IV?s in your practice?	11	2	2			
How long have you been a licensed professional?	10	2	4			
Why would you recommend/not recommend this course?	<b>YES</b>	10				
<i>Increased clarity into why a certain therapy is used and how to explain its efficiency to clients.</i>						
<i>2 day course vs. 4 day course, in BC, great chart with lots of practical info</i>						
<i>Good location, content interesting, good data/statistics.</i>						
<i>Would not recommend doesn't seem relevant to as a practitioner focused on TX too much instead of practical use and effect of chelators and red flags and emergencies.</i>						
<i>Chelation is an important therapy for ND's; Michael is an industry leader in terms of knowledge, experience and applicability. Great teacher/mentor.</i>						
<i>Most practical in comparison to other courses offered by white and ACAM.</i>						
<i>Tons of good info, studies chart.</i>						
<i>It offers valuable info on a therapy which would help many people which can be used on a wide variety of patients.</i>						
<i>Instructor very knowledgeable. First day was good pace and slides well organized. Good presenter and found it easy to stay focused.</i>						

<i>Yes overall well organized/ useful materials.</i>						
<i>Concentrated with what we need to know, time efficient and cost efficient.</i>						
<b>What would you change about this course?</b>						
<i>More time to cover all material effectively.</i>						
<i>More clarity about info in the lectures. Too much time spent on basics not enough on how to actually do, monitor, prescribe and follow up with chelation therapies.</i>						
<i>To much time spent on history not enough on basics. Liked all the research but felt it was focus of course instead of basic and actually how to do. Reading word for word fro slides should have been summarized, I wondered how prepared the instructor was.</i>						
<i>Last hour of day 1 about machines was insulting "I didn't pay to sit in the audience to make another sale" course is expensive as it is. Focus more on the actual IV's providing more protocols and practical knowledge.</i>						
<i>Practical component.</i>						
<i>Content, learn more about protocols safety and supportive materials.</i>						
<i>Limit the amount of questions regarding experimental design from students (poor use of time). time spent on history limited the time spent on applications.</i>						
<i>Add emergency protocol or quick reference chart with complications and management.</i>						
<i>More time may be needed to get through the material.</i>						
<i>Maybe a 3 day course with a slower pace. A demo would be nice (especially for students) providing us with online rates would be much better.</i>						
<i>History was too much course content. Would like to see more practical uses. Slides were read from not summarized. More applicable cases. More IV treatment examples. More Naturopathic adjunctive therapies in addition.</i>						
<i>Need to get time down pat, cut out the less essential and put in the back of manual. Less on history more on application of treatment. Second day was to rushed. Will recommend course if more organized with time management. Limit questions.</i>						
<i>Not enough focus on practical too much emphasis on theory. Would have liked more hands on.</i>						
<b>Would you like to take further courses given by Michael A. Prytula ND?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>Maybe</b>	<b>No</b>			
	10	3	1			
<b>What course(s) would you be interested in attending? Please check ALL that apply:</b>						
E. A. T. (Eliminate Allergy Technique)	7					
M. E. T. (Microorganism Elimination Technique)	4					
M. R. T. (Mental Reprogramming Techniques)	2					
IV OZONE	9					
IV CHELATION						
CHEMICAL DETOX	7					
<b>Is there a topic NOT listed that you would like to see a workshop given for?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>				
	5	2				
<b>If YES? please specify:</b>						
<i>Emergency response course</i>						
<i>H2O2 therapy</i>						
<i>IV emergency course</i>						
<i>IV techniques and emergency course</i>						